

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trad mark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

3673

DATE MAILED:

		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		4	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/471,501	12/23/99	BIÖLLEY		F	612.379&1X00	
_			一		EXAMINER	
020457 ANTONELLI TE	ERRY STOUT (PM92/1022 AND KRAUS		PECHHO	OLD, A	

020457 PM92/1022 ANTONELLI TERRY STOUT AND KRAUS SUITE 1800 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET ARLINGTON VA 22209

. 10/22/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

<i></i>						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/471,501	BOILLEY, FRANCIS				
Advisory Medicin	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alexandra K Pechhold	3673				
The MAILING DATE f this communicati n appe	ears n the c ver sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 01 October 2001 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oold abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to a high places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TI	ig date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the ma	originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of t	finally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 						
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Se	r reconsideration has been cons ee Continuation Sheet.	sidered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a) will not be entered or b rould be rejected is provided bel	o)∏ will be entered and an ow or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Although the pipe sections (28, 30) in Moses et al (US 5,615,977) are indeed rigid pipe sections, their arrangement between flexible couplings (32) gives the lower half section of riser system (10), as shown in the embodiment of Fig. 2, an greater reduction in bending stresses (Col 5, lines 25-30). Moses specifically notes that "Because the stiffness of a flexible coupling 32 is much lower than that of pipe sections 28, 30 of comparable length, the anticipated bending moments and bending stresses transmitted by the flexible coupling 32 are lower than would be the case for pipe sections in the same locations in a conventional rigid pipe riser" (Col 9, lines 43-48). Therefore, Moses is differentiating the invention from conventional rigid pipe risers, and providing an element of flexibility to the riser system. Furthermore, the upper pipe section (28) of the riser system (10) connected to platform (16) in the embodiment of Fig. 2 can be viewed as being a rigid riser part, since it extends for half of the water's depth before the introduction of flexible couplings (32) along the pipe, thereby comprising a rigid pipe section extending half the water depth, consequently having less flexibility.

Thomas B. Will Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3600